

# Jakarta EE Spec Committee - August 25th, 2021 [1300 UTC]

*Attendees (present in bold):*

feat

**Kenji Kazumura** - Fujitsu

**Dan Bandera** - IBM - Kevin Sutter, Tom Watson

**Ed Bratt** - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage - Payara

**Scott Stark** - Red Hat - Mark Little, **Scott Marlow**

David Blevins - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, Cesar Hernandez

**Ivar Grimstad** - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

**Jun Qian** - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the July 28th meeting as drafted - Approved.

## Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progress through the [JESP](#)
  - Jakarta EE 10 Plan Review - Candidate specifications
    - PRs <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
    - Project Board <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/projects/1>
- Since our previous call on July 28th, the following resolution was approved by ballot on the Spec Discussions mailing list “RESOLUTION: The Jakarta EE Specification Committee resolves that no new optional features may be added in Jakarta EE 10 and beyond in component, Platform, or Profile specifications.”. Proposed next steps for discussion:
  - This resolution needs to be communicated to the specification projects
    - Proposal:
      - Send result to spec leads mailing list ASAP [Scott Stark]
      - Platform and Profiles (Web & Core) release plans note this requirement and handled within discussions on the Platform calls [Kevin, Scott Stark, Ivar]
      - Document it in the release checklist [Template was updated, see <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/414>, review w/

Kevin in next call]

- Update JESP & Operations Guide [Scott Stark]
- Release Reviews for specifications that are not in compliance will not proceed to ballot, spec projects will be requested to be in compliance prior to ballot.

The summary of the ballot is below:

<b>Representative</b>	<b>Representative for:</b>	<b>Vote</b>
Kenji Kazumura	Fujitsu	+1
Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter	IBM	+1
Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov	Oracle	-1
Andrew Pielage, Matt Gill	Payara	+1
Scott Stark, Mark Little	Red Hat	+1
David Blevins, Jean-Louis Monteiro	Tomitribe	-1
Ivar Grimstad	EE4J PMC	+1
Marcelo Ancelmo, Martijn Verburg	Participant Members	+1 *
Werner Keil	Committer Members	-1 *
Dr. Jun Qian	Enterprise Members	+1
	Total	<b>6</b>

\* - vote arrived after the ballot was closed so was not included in the tally.

- How best to handle dormant specs such as the [Stable APIs Project](#). No activity does not always imply that the project is dead and can be terminated, it may need an errata in the future for a change needed to support a new Java SE release.
  - Could the inactive projects access infrastructure from a pool instead of static allocation of build infrastructure?
  - What if a CVE comes in that affects a specification, requiring a rev?
  - Ivar to let the Infra team to let them know that the Stable APIs Project has an on-going need for its build infrastructure.
- The [Jakarta Connector](#) Spec document is 100M in size and is too large to be properly served up as a web page (HTML). Idea: re-render without the resource (images). Can this be done without re-release the artifact? Could be done as a service release.
  - Netlify has a 30s page serving/service limit which can cause spec doc pages to

be truncated

[https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show\\_bug.cgi?id=575512](https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=575512)

- Options:
  - Do not build html file as a single inline document
  - Go back and look at if such high-res images are required - embedded images
  - Move PDF and html files to the download server
  - Go back to Infra team and request a larger limit from “Netlify”
  - Review the AsciiDoc settings and do not include images by default
- Recommendations:
  - Move the Jakarta Connector html file to the download server and update the link on the spec page [Ed]
  - Find a longer term solution including requesting that “Netlify” raise their limit [Paul]
- Nominations and ballots for the elected seats on the Specification Committee are expected to get underway the week of September 6th.
- Chair on a go forward basis will be assessing good standing position of committee members and following up on exceptions
  - Subsequent to 08/25 call: The Jakarta EE Steering Committee is considering an update to the working group [charter](#) to drop the good standing requirement to align with the Eclipse Foundation AISBL bylaws. As a result no action will be taken by the Specification Committee chair at this time regarding good standing.